

S/N 10/602,926

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 15 2004

Applicant: Curran et al.

Docket: WHLK/013C

Serial No.: 10/602,926

Filed: June 24, 2003

Group Art Unit: 2636

Examiner: John Tweel Jr.

Title: Apparatus And Method For Synchronizing Visual/Audible Alarm Units In An Alarm System

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Box: Fee Amendment

Washington, D. C. 20231

SIR:

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

This response is submitted to address the Office Action dated June 15, 2004 (Paper No. 2).

REMARKS

In view of the following discussion, the Applicants submit that none of the claims now pending in the application is obvious under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. Thus, the Applicants believe that all of these claims are now in allowable form.

I. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1-22 UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF OBVIOUSNESS-TYPE DOUBLE PATENTING.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-22 in Paragraphs 1-2 in the Office Action under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.

Responsive to the Examiner, Applicants submit the enclosed terminal disclaimer to address the obviousness-type double patenting rejection. As such, Applicants now submit that claims 1-22 are now in allowable form.